

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,585	10/28/2003	Scott Goldthwaite	WS-103	5377
27769 7590 03/15/2007 AKC PATENTS 215 GROVE ST.			EXAMINER	
			SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
NEWTON, MA 02466			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/695,585	GOLDTHWAITE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Philip J. Sobutka	2618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	·		(O) OF THETY (O) 5 A) (O			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is presented to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	anuary 2007				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
-						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 16-23 is/are allowed.	.•				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1,2,9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatakeyama (US 2002/0002507) in view of Hofmann (US 6,311,241)

Consider claim 1. Hatakeyama teaches a wireless mobile device adapted to access a wireless network comprising:

- a connector (Hatakeyama see figure 1, paragraph 18);
- a magnetic stripe reader module electrically connected to the connector (Hatakeyama see figure 1, paragraph 18); and

wherein said magnetic stripe reader module is adapted to receive and read information stored in a magnetic stripe and transmit said information to an entity via said wireless network (Hatakeyama, see figures 1,2, paragraphs 7,18-22).

Hatakeyama lacks a teaching of the connector for magnetic strip reader to the mobile device being a subscriber identification module (SIM) card slot.

Hofmann teaches using the SIM slot on a wireless phone as a connector for other electronic plug in devices (Hofmann see especially column 2, lines 15-25, column 3, lines 38-60). Hoffman notes that the slot is already present on the devices (Hofmann see especially column 1, lines 60-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hatakeyama to use the SIM slot to connect the magnetic strip reader in order to utilize an already existing slot as taught by Hofmann.

As to claim 2, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 1 further comprising a payment card comprising said magnetic stripe (Hatakeyama see paragraph 20) and wherein said information is selected from a group consisting of payment card owner identification information, payment card identification information, authentication information, payment card issuer information, and financial institution information (Hatakeyama, see paragraphs 24-28).

As to claim 9, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 1 wherein said wireless mobile device is selected from a group consisting of a mobile phone (Hatakeyama, see figure 1). However Hatakeyama in

view of Hofmann lack a teaching of the device being selected from the group consisting of a personal digital assistant, a pager, a wireless laptop computer, a personal computer, a television remote control, and combinations thereof. Official Notice is taken that all of these are well-known and popular forms of wireless devices. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device to take one of the claimed forms in order to allow the user to utilize any of these popular forms of a wireless device they preferred.

As to claim 10, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 1 wherein said wireless network is selected from a group consisting of a wireless wide area network (WWAN) (Hatakeyama, see paragraph 1). However Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann lack a teaching of the network being selected from the group consisting of a wireless local area network (WLAN), a private network, and a personal area network (PAN). Official Notice is taken that all of the claimed networks are notoriously well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann as shown in the claim in order to allow for use with a variety of common wireless systems.

As to claim 11, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 10, but lacks a teaching of wherein said wireless wide area network (WWAN) is selected from a group consisting of a Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), a General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), CDMA 2000, and wideband CDMA (WCDMA). Official Notice is taken that all of the claimed networks are notoriously well known in the art. Therefore

Application/Control Number: 10/695,585

Art Unit: 2618

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann as shown in the claim in order to allow for use with a variety of common wireless systems.

As to claim 12, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 1 wherein said wireless mobile device is used for making financial transactions between a user and said entity with a payment card comprising said magnetic stripe over said network (Hatakeyama, see paragraphs 24-28).

As to claim 13, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 12 wherein said financial transactions between said user and said entity are face-to-face (Hatakeyama, note that the purchase could be made at the seller location as long as the seller had a web site see paragraphs 5-14,24-28).

As to claim 14, Hatakeyama in view of Hofmann teaches the wireless mobile device of claim 12 wherein said financial transactions between said user and said entity are remote (Hatakeyama, note that the purchase can be made remotely through the web, see paragraphs 5-14,24-28).

Consider claim 15. An electronic communication method comprising:

purchasing a good or a service from a merchant; paying with a payment card

comprising a magnetic stripe via a wireless mobile device (Hatakeyama, see

paragraphs 5-14); and

wherein said wireless mobile device is adapted to access a wireless network and comprises a connector and a magnetic stripe reader module electrically connected

Page 6

to the connector and wherein said magnetic stripe reader module is adapted to receive and read information stored in said magnetic stripe and transmit said information to an entity via said wireless network (*Hatakeyama*, see *figures 1,2*, *paragraphs 24-28*).

Hatakeyama lacks a teaching of the connector for magnetic strip reader to the mobile device being a subscriber identification module (SIM) card slot.

Hofmann teaches using the SIM slot on a wireless phone as a connector for other electronic plug in devices (Hofmann see especially column 2, lines 15-25, column 3, lines 38-60). Hoffman notes that the slot is already present on the devices (Hofmann see especially column 1, lines 60-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hatakeyama to use the SIM slot to connect the magnetic strip reader in order to utilize an already existing slot as taught by Hofmann.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-8, 16-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Consider claim 3. The nearest prior art as shown in Hatakeyama and Hofmann fails to teach the wireless mobile device of claim 1 further comprising a memory; a Central Processing Unit (CPU); a SIM card connected to the SIM card slot, the SIM card authenticating the wireless mobile device to the wireless network; and a first application program associated with the memory and the CPU and being adapted to receive and

Art Unit: 2618

transmit instructions from the magnetic stripe reader module to the wireless mobile device and the reverse.

Consider claim 16. The nearest prior art as shown in Hatakeyama and Hofmann fails to teach an electronic payment method utilized by a customer to pay a merchant with a payment card comprising a magnetic stripe for a face-to-face purchase of a good or service comprising: placing an order by the customer for the purchase of the good or service to the merchant; providing a wireless mobile device wherein the wireless mobile device is adapted to access a wireless network and comprises a subscriber identification module (SIM) card slot and a magnetic stripe reader module electrically connected to the SIM card slot and wherein the magnetic stripe reader module is adapted to receive and read information stored in the magnetic stripe and transmit the information via the wireless network; entering information of the purchase in the wireless mobile device; swiping the magnetic stripe through the magnetic stripe reader, retrieving payment card identification information from the magnetic stripe and authorizing payment for the good or service; formatting the purchase information, the payment card identification information and the payment authorization into a first message and sending the first message to an authentication server via the wireless network; authenticating and sending the first message by the authentication server to a financial institution; registering the purchase information and sending approval for the payment by the financial institution to the authentication server; forwarding the payment Art Unit: 2618

approval to the wireless mobile device; and fulfilling the order to the customer by the merchant.

Consider claim 19. The nearest prior art as shown in Hatakeyama and Hofmann fails to teach an electronic payment method utilized by a customer to pay a merchant with a payment card comprising a magnetic stripe for a remote purchase of a good or service comprising: placing an order by the customer for the purchase of the good or service to a merchant server via a first network and choosing to pay via a wireless mobile device wherein the wireless mobile device is adapted to access a wireless network and comprises a subscriber identification module (SIM) card slot and a magnetic stripe reader module electrically connected to the SIM card slot and wherein the magnetic stripe reader module is adapted to receive and read information stored in the magnetic stripe and transmit the information via the wireless network; providing the merchant server with an identification information for the wireless mobile device; creating a digital order comprising purchase information and the identification number for the wireless mobile device by the merchant server; routing the digital order to an authentication server via the first network; formatting the digital order into a first message wherein the first message is adapted to be transmitted over the wireless network; routing the first message over the wireless network to the wireless mobile device; displaying the first message on the wireless mobile device; requesting and receiving authorization of payment from the customer via the wireless mobile device; swiping the magnetic stripe through the magnetic stripe reader and retrieving payment

Application/Control Number: 10/695,585 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

card identification and security information; formatting authorization result and payment card identification and security information into a second message and routing the second message to the authentication server; authenticating and routing the second message to a financial institution, wherein the financial institution is the issuer of the payment card; and approving and executing the payment at the financial institution. forwarding the payment approval to the authentication server and from the authentication server to the wireless mobile device; and fulfilling the order to the customer by the merchant.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed January 8, 2007have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the invention provides a unit that functions simultaneously as a mobile phone and magnetic stripe reader, however the claims are not so limited. Even assuming that the proposed combination would not be able to perform simultaneous reading and transmission, the claims as presented do not distinguish over reading and transmission being performed sequentially.

Conclusion

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. THIS **ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177.
- The central fax phone number for the Office is 571-273-8300.
 Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is

required to be sent to the Central FAX Number.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,585

Art Unit: 2618

Page 11

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/13/07

PHILIP J. SOBUTKA

Philip Sobutka (571) 272-7887